arXiv:1607.00564v2 [math.GT] 11 Aug 2016

POLYHEDRAL HOROFUNCTION COMPACTIFICATION AS POLYHEDRAL BALL

LIZHEN JI AND ANNA-SOFIE SCHILLING

Abstract. In this paper we answer positively a question raised by Kapovich and Leeb in a recent paper titled "Finsler bordifications of symmetric and certain locally symmetric spaces". Specifically, we show that for a finite-dimensional vector space with polyhedral norm, its horofunction compactification is homeomorphic to the dual unit ball of the norm by an explicit map. To prove this, we establish a criterion for converging sequences in the horofunction compactification, and generalize the basic notion of the moment map in the theory of toric varieties.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. Notations 3
2.2. Some convex analysis 3
2.3. The "pseudo-norm" $|\cdot|_R$ 5
2.4. The maps $h_{E,p}$ 7
3. The horofunction compactification 8
3.1. Introduction to horofunctions 8
3.2. The characterization theorem 10
3.3. Examples 13
4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 15
4.1. The map m^C 15
4.2. The actual proof of Theorem 1.2 19
References 21

1. Introduction

In order to compactify proper metric spaces, Gromov [4, §1.2] introduced the notion of horofunction compactifications. Motivated by applications to C^*-algebras, Rieffel [10] studied Busemann points, which are limits of almost-geodesics and form a subset of the horofunctions in the boundary of the horofunction compactification. For finite-dimensional normed spaces they were described explicitly by Walsh, see [13]. In the diploma thesis of the second author, this description was used to characterize the converging sequences in the horofunction compactification of finite-dimensional vector spaces with polyhedral norms. See Theorem 3.10 later. Also Karlsson, Metz and Noskov [9] describe the horofunction boundary for a polyhedral norm.

Date: August 15, 2016.

Recently, Kapovich and Leeb [8] studied the polyhedral horofunction compactification of finite-dimensional vector spaces in order to understand the Satake compactifications of symmetric spaces of non-compact type. Specifically, they raised the following question:

Question 1.1. [8, Quest. 6.18] Suppose that $\|\cdot\|$ is a polyhedral norm on a finite-dimensional real vector space V. Is it true that the horoclosure $\overline{V}$ of V with respect to this norm, with its natural stratification, is homeomorphic to the closed unit ball for the dual norm?

The main purpose of this paper is to give a positive answer to this question and to give an explicit formula for the homeomorphism (see Theorem 1.2 later). This explicit map is a generalization of the moment map known from toric varieties. See [3, p. 82] for a definition of the moment map and a similar statement to ours about the map. The basic construction is a bijective map m^C from the vector space X into an m-dimensional convex polytope C with vertices $\{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$. It is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} m^C : X &\longrightarrow \operatorname{int}(C), \\ x &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{e^{-\langle c_i | x\rangle}}{\sum_{k=1}^{r} e^{-\langle c_k | x\rangle}} c_i. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this map is open and surjective onto the interior of C. More about it can be found in section 4.1 of this paper.

The horofunction compactification can be defined for any proper metric space X, see Section 3 below for the general definition. In this paper we focus on the case where X is a finite-dimensional normed space with a polyhedral norm, that is, the unit ball of the norm is a convex polytope containing the origin in its interior. We identify X with $\mathbb{R}^m$ to use the Euclidean inner product to define orthogonal projections in X. Following Walsh [13, Thm. 1.1 and 1.2] we describe the horoboundary as a set of real-valued functions $h_{E,p}$ on the dual space X^* that are parametrized by proper faces E of the dual unit ball B° and certain points p in a subspace of X. An explicit description can be found in Section 2.4. These maps $h_{E,p}$ are used to define the homeomorphism between the horofunction compactification $\overline{X}^{hor}$ of X and the dual unit ball B°:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a finite-dimensional normed space with a polyhedral norm. Let $B \subset X$ be the unit ball associated to $\|\cdot\|$ and $B^\circ \subset X^*$ its dual polytope. Then the horofunction compactification $\overline{X}^{hor}$ of X with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is homeomorphic to B° via the map*

$$\begin{aligned} m : \overline{X}^{hor} &\longrightarrow B^\circ, \\ X \ni x &\longmapsto m^{B^\circ}(x), \\ \partial_{hor} X \ni h_{E,p} &\longmapsto m^E(p). \end{aligned}$$

The map m is a combination of several maps m^C for different convex subsets $C \subseteq B^\circ$. The interior of X is mapped into the interior of the dual unit ball B°. Horofunctions associated to the face $E \subset B^\circ$ are mapped into the face E, where $p \in X$ denotes the position of the image within E. Note

that p lies in a subspace of X of the same dimension as the convex polytope E. The proof of this theorem is based on a result in the diploma thesis of the second author, which gives a characterization of sequences converging to the horoboundary, see Theorem 3.10 below. After some preliminaries we prove this characterization and give some examples to visualize the strong dependence of the direction and shape of the sequence from the faces of the unit ball and its dual. By combining this characterization and the above explicit map, we prove Theorem 1.2 in the last section.

Acknowledgement. The first author acknowledges support from NSF grants DMS 1107452, 1107263, 1107367 GEometric structures And Representation varieties (the GEAR Network) and partial support from Simons Fellowship (grant #305526) and the Simons grant #353785. The second author was supported by the European Research Council under ERC-consolidator grant 614733.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notations.** In the following, $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ always denotes an m-dimensional normed space with a polyhedral unit ball B associated to the norm $\|\cdot\|$. That means B is an m-dimensional polytope containing the origin in its interior. Let B° denote the dual unit ball of B in the dual space X^*. It is also an m-dimensional polytope, see Definition 2.2 below. $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ denotes the dual pairing of X^* and X. For any subset $F \subset X$ let $V(F) \subset X$ be the subspace generated by F, that is, the smallest subspace containing F, and $V(F)^\perp$ its orthogonal complement with respect to the Euclidean inner product obtained by identifying X with $\mathbb{R}^m$. The projection of an element $x \in X$ to these two subspaces will be written as x_F for the projection to $V(F)$ and x^F for the projection to $V(F)^\perp$. Whenever a convex set C is given as the convex hull of a set of points, $C = \operatorname{conv}\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$, we want this set of points to be minimal, that is, $\operatorname{conv}\{c_1, \dots, c_k\} \neq \operatorname{conv}\{c_1, \dots, c_{j-1}, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_k\}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$. This means that each point c_j is a proper vertex of C.

Remark 2.1. We could also have taken the quotient $X/V(F)$ instead of $V(F)^\perp$, but since the orthogonal complement is more geometric, we use the complement $V(F)^\perp$.

2.2. **Some convex analysis.**

Definition 2.2. Let B be the unit ball of our norm $\|\cdot\|$. Then the *dual unit ball* B° is defined as the polar of B:

$$B^\circ := \{y \in X^* \mid \langle y|x\rangle \geq -1 \ \forall x \in B\}.$$

The *dual norm* $\|\cdot\|^\circ$ is the norm which has B° as its unit ball.

Remark 2.3. Every m-dimensional polytope $C \subset X$ containing the origin in its interior defines a norm $\|\cdot\|_C$ on X by

$$\|x\|_C := \inf\{\alpha > 0 | x \in \alpha C\}$$

for all $x \in X$.

There are two ways to describe a bounded polytope, either as the convex hull of a finite set of points or as the intersection of finitely many half-spaces. For more details on polars and polyhedral convex sets see for example [1] or [11, §19]. This leads to the following description of a polytope C around the origin and its dual polytope C°:

Let $C = \mathrm{conv}\{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$ be an m-dimensional polytope around the origin which is given as the convex hull of a finite set of points. Then each point c_i defines a hyperplane $H_i \subset X^*$ such that $\langle H_i | c_i \rangle = -1$, that is, $\langle h_i | c_i \rangle = -1$ for all $h_i \in H_i$. Let $V_i \subset X^*$ be the halfspace bounded by H_i which contains the origin. Then

$$\begin{aligned} C^\circ &= \bigcap_{i=1,\dots,r} V_i \\ &= \{y \in X^* \mid \langle y | c_i \rangle \geq -1 \ \forall i = 1, \dots, l\}. \end{aligned}$$

As C is convex and contains the origin, we have $(C^\circ)^\circ = C$. It is therefore also easy to describe C° as a convex hull of a finite set of points when C is given as the intersection of certain halfspaces V_i.

Definition 2.4. A *k-face* of a polytope $C = \bigcap_{i=1,\dots,r} V_i \subset X$ is a k-dimensional subset of X which is the intersection of C with one or more hyperplanes H_i that bound V_i. An $m-1$-dimensional face is also called a *facet*.

Example 2.5. We consider $\mathbb{R}^2$ equipped with the L^1-norm. Then B is a square with vertices

$$c_1 = (1, 0),\ c_2 = (0, 1),\ c_3 = (-1, 0),\ c_4 = (0, -1).$$

Each of them defines a hyperplane, for example

$$H_1 = \{\, (-1, y) |\ y \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

for which obviously $\langle H_1 | c_1 \rangle = -1$. We obtain similar sets for the other three vertices.



FIGURE 1. The unit ball B and its dual B° as in Example 2.5

From Figure 1 it is clear that the dual unit ball is a square corresponding to the L^∞-norm with vertices

$$u_1 = (1, 1),\ u_2 = (-1, 1),\ u_3 = (-1, -1),\ u_4 = (1, -1).$$

Remark 2.6. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the faces of B and those of B°. Indeed, let $F \subset B$ be a k-face of the polyhedral unit ball B. Then there is a unique $(m-1-k)$-face $E \subset B^\circ$ of the dual unit ball

defined by the equation $\langle E|F\rangle = -1$, that is, $\langle e|f\rangle = -1$ for all $e \in E$ and $f \in F$. This face is called the *dual face* of F and often denoted by F°. Note that

$$\dim F + \dim F^\circ = m - 1.$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let $E \subset B^\circ$ be a face and $F \subset B$ its dual. Then there is a $t \in X^*$ such that*

$$\langle e|q\rangle = \langle t|q\rangle$$

for all $e \in E$ and $q \in V(F)$.

Proof. The statement follows from the fact that $E \subset (V(F)^\perp)^* + t$ for some $t \in V(F)^*$. That is, for all $e \in E$ there is an $f^\perp \in (V(F)^\perp)^*$ such that $e = f^\perp + t$. □

Notation 2.8. Let $C = \operatorname{conv}\{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$ be a convex polytope. Then the faces of C are also convex polytopes. For any face $F \subset C$ let $S_F \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ denote those indices of vertices belonging to F.

Definition 2.9. Let $R \subset X$ be a convex set of arbitrary dimension. Then the *cone* K_R over R is the convex set

$$K_R := \{x \in X \mid \exists\ \alpha > 0, r \in R \text{ such that } x = \alpha r\}.$$

Lemma 2.10. *Let $C = \operatorname{conv}\{c_1, \dots, c_r\} \subset X$ be an m-dimensional convex polytope around the origin with faces $\{F_1, \dots, F_k\}$. Fix one face $F = F_j \in \{F_1, \dots, F_k\}$ and denote by $E = F^\circ \subset C^\circ$ its dual face. Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an unbounded sequence such that for n large enough $x_{n,F} \in K_F$. Then for any edge c_E of E it holds (as $n \longrightarrow \infty$):*

$$\langle c_E - c_j|x_{n,F}\rangle \longrightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \in S_E, \\ -\infty & \text{if } j \notin S_E. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As $x_{n,F} \in K_F$ for n large enough, there is an $f_n \in F$ for each n such that $x_{n,F} = \|x_{n,F}\|_C \cdot f_n$. Let $j \in S_E$, then

$$\langle c_E - c_j|x_{n,F}\rangle = \|x_{n,F}\|_C\Big(\langle c_E - c_j|f_n\rangle\Big) = 0,$$

because both c_E and c_j are vertices of E and $f_n \in F = E^\circ$.
If $j \notin S_E$, then $\langle c_j|f_n\rangle > -1$ while $\langle c_E|f_n\rangle = -1$. Therefore, as $\|x_{n,F}\|_C \to \infty$, we have

$$\langle c_E - c_j|x_{n,F}\rangle = \|x_{n,F}\|_C\Big(\underbrace{\langle c_E - c_j|f_n\rangle}_{<0}\Big) \longrightarrow -\infty. \qquad \square$$

Definition 2.11. The *affine hull* $\operatorname{aff}(A)$ of a set $A \subset X$ is defined to be the smallest affine set in X containing A. The *relative interior* $\operatorname{ri}(A)$ of A is the interior of A within $\operatorname{aff}(A)$. Similarly, we define the *relative boundary* of A as $\partial_{rel}A := (\operatorname{cl} A) \setminus (\operatorname{ri} A)$.

2.3. **The "pseudo-norm" $|\cdot|_R$.** Before we can introduce the maps defining horofunctions in the next section, we first need to define a "pseudo-norm":

Definition 2.12. Let $R \subset X^*$ be a convex set. For every $x \in X$ define

$$|x|_R := -\inf_{q\in R}\langle q|x\rangle.$$

In general, this is not a norm. But by the polarity of the unit balls B and B°, $|\cdot|_{B^\circ}$ is a norm, since

$$|\cdot|_{B^\circ} = -\inf_{q\in B^\circ}\langle q|\cdot\rangle = \|\cdot\|.$$

In the following we state some technical lemmata about the relation of the pseudo-norm $|\cdot|_R$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|$. We will use them later in the proof of Theorem 3.10.

Lemma 2.13. *If $R = \mathrm{conv}\{r_1, \dots, r_k\}$ is a convex polytope, then*

$$|x|_R = -\inf_{i=1,\dots,k}\langle r_i|x\rangle.$$

Proof. Define a function $f : R \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via $f(q) = \langle q|x\rangle$. As R is compact and f is continuous and affine, f takes its minimum and its maximum on the boundary of R. Indeed, if the extrema would only lie in the interior of R, the derivative would be 0 there. As f is affine, it would be constant in contradiction to the assumption that it takes its extrema not on the boundary. As the boundary of R is the finite union of several polyhedral convex sets, we can conclude in the same way that f takes its minimum and maximum on the vertices $r_1, \dots, r_k$. □

Lemma 2.14. *Let F be a non-empty proper face of B and $E := F^\circ$ its dual convex face with vertices $e_1, \dots, e_k$. Their dual facets are denoted by $F_i = \{e_i\}^\circ \subset B$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. If $F \subset B$ is not a facet, the F_i contain F in their relative boundary. If F is already a facet, take $F_i = F$ for all i. Let $x \in X$ be in the interior of K_F and $p \in X$ be small enough such that $p + x \in K_{F_j}$ for some (not necessarily unique) $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then*

$$|x + p|_E = \|x + p\|.$$

Proof. Because of the duality $F_j = \{e_j\}^\circ$ and as $\frac{x+p}{\|x+p\|} \in F_j$, we know that

$$\left\langle e_j \middle| \frac{x+p}{\|x+p\|} \right\rangle = -1, \qquad \left\langle e_i \middle| \frac{x+p}{\|x+p\|} \right\rangle \geq -1 \quad \text{for all } i \neq j.$$

Together with Lemma 2.13 we compute

$$\begin{aligned} |x + p|_E &= -\inf_{q\in E}\langle q|x + p\rangle \\ &= -\inf_{i=1,\dots,k}\langle e_i|x + p\rangle \\ &= -\langle e_j|x + p\rangle \\ &= \|x + p\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.15. *Let F be a proper face of B and $x \in X$ such that $x \in \mathrm{int}(K_F)$ and $E = F^\circ$ its dual face. Then for all $p \in X$,*

$$|x + p|_E = \|x\| + |p|_E.$$

Proof. As $\frac{x}{\|x\|} \in F$, we know that $\langle q|\frac{x}{\|x\|}\rangle = -1$ and therefore $\langle q|x\rangle = -\|x\|$ for all $q \in E$. With this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|x+p|_E &= -\inf_{q\in E}\langle q|x+p\rangle \\ &= -\inf_{q\in E}[\langle q|x\rangle + \langle q|p\rangle] \\ &= \|x\| - \inf_{q\in E}\langle q|p\rangle \\ &= \|x\| + |p|_E.\end{aligned}$$

□

2.4. **The maps $h_{E,p}$.** In this section we introduce real-valued functions on X which will later turn out to be the horofunctions of X with respect to our norm $\|\cdot\|$. For every proper face $E \subset B^\circ$ and every $p \in V(E^\circ)^\perp$ we define the function

$$\begin{aligned}h_{E,p} : X &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ y &\longmapsto |p-y|_E - |p|_E.\end{aligned}$$

We could also take $p \in X$ to define $h_{E,p}$. But the following lemma shows that only the part in $V(F)^\perp$ makes a contribution to $h_{E,p}$.

Lemma 2.16. *Let $E \subset B^\circ$ be a face and $F \subset B$ its dual. Then for all $p, y \in X$*

$$|p-y|_E - |p|_E = |p^F - y|_E - |p^F|_E,$$

where as usual p^F denotes the projection of p to $V(F)^\perp$.

Proof. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ be the vertices of E and $\{f_1, \dots, f_l\}$ those of F. Then by Lemma 2.7 there is a $t \in X^*$ such that $\langle e_i|q\rangle = \langle t|q\rangle$ for all $q \in V(F)$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|p-y|_E - |p|_E &= -\inf_i\langle e_i|p-y\rangle + \inf_i\langle e_i|p\rangle \\ &= -\inf_i\left[\langle e_i|p^F - y\rangle + \langle e_i|p_F\rangle\right] + \inf_i\left[\langle e_i|p^F\rangle + \langle e_i|p_F\rangle\right] \\ &= -\inf_i\langle e_i|p^F - y\rangle + \inf_i\langle e_i|p^F\rangle \\ &= |p^F - y|_E - |p^F|_E,\end{aligned}$$

where the infimum is always taken over $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. □

Lemma 2.17. *Let $E \subset B^\circ$ be a face and as usual $F \subset B$ its dual face. Let $E_t = E + t$ be the convex set obtained by shifting E by some $t \in X^*$. Then for $p \in V(F)^\perp$ and any $y \in X$, we have the equality*

$$h_{E_t,p}(y) = h_{E,p}(y) + \langle t|y\rangle.$$

Proof. Let $e_1, \dots, e_k$ be the vertices of E. Then the vertices of E_t are $\{v_j = e_j + t | j = 1, \dots, k\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}h_{E_t,p}(y) = |p-y|_{E_t} - |p|_{E_t} &= -\inf_{j=1,\dots,k}\langle v_j|p-y\rangle + \inf_{j=1\dots,k}\langle v_j|p\rangle \\ &= -\inf_j\left[\langle e_j|p-y\rangle + \langle t|p-y\rangle\right] + \inf_j\left[\langle e_j|p\rangle + \langle t|p\rangle\right] \\ &= \inf_j\langle e_j|p-y\rangle + \inf_j\langle e_j|p\rangle - \langle t|p-y\rangle + \langle t|p\rangle \\ &= h_{E,p}(y) + \langle t|y\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.18. *Let $E_1 \neq E_2$ be two faces of B° with dual faces $F_1, F_2 \subset B$, respectively. Then there are no points $p_1, p_2 \in X$ such that $h_{E_1,p_1} = h_{E_2,p_2}$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality let $\dim E_1 \geq \dim E_2$. By u_j, $j = 1, \ldots, r$ we denote the vertices of B°. Let $u \in E_1 \setminus E_2$ be a vertex of E_1 and $F = \{u\}^\circ \subset B$ its dual facet. Now we assume there are $p_1, p_2 \in X$ such that $h_{E_1,p_1} = h_{E_2,p_2}$. Then as $h_{E_1,p_1}(p_1) = |p_1 - p_1|_{E_1} - |p_1|_{E_1} = -|p_1|_{E_1}$ it holds

$$h_{E_2,p_2}(p_1) = |p_2 - p_1|_{E_2} - |p_2|_{E_2} = -|p_1|_{E_1}.$$

Now take $y \in X$ such that $p_1 - y, p_2 - y \in K_F$. As F is a facet of B, we can always find a y big enough such that this condition is satisfied. Then as $\frac{p_1-y}{\|p_1-y\|} \in F$, the dual pairing $\langle u | \frac{p_1-y}{\|p_1-y\|} \rangle = -1$ is minimal and the pairing with any other vertex of B° is strict greater than -1. So as u is a vertex of E_1 but not of E_2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_{E_1,p_1}(y) &= -\inf_{j \in S_{E_1}} \langle u_j | p_1 - y \rangle - |p_1|_{E_1} \\ &= -\|p_1 - y\| \inf_{j \in S_{E_1}} \left\langle u_j \,\middle|\, \frac{p_1 - y}{\|p_1 - y\|} \right\rangle - |p_1|_{E_1} \\ &= \|p_1 - y\| - |p_1|_{E_1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{E_2,p_2}(y) &= -\inf_{i \in S_{E_2}} \langle u_i | p_2 - y \rangle - |p_2|_{E_2} \\ &= -\inf_{i \in S_{E_2}} \left[\langle u_i | p_2 - p_1 \rangle + \langle u_i | p_1 - y \rangle \right] - |p_2|_{E_2} \\ &< \|p_1 - y\| + |p_2 - p_1|_{E_2} - |p_2|_{E_2} \\ &= \|p_1 - y\| - |p_1|_{E_1} = h_{E_1,p_1}(y). \end{aligned}$$

So for every pair $p_1, p_2 \in X$ we have found a point where h_{E_1,p_1} and h_{E_2,p_2} do not coincide. □

3. The horofunction compactification

3.1. **Introduction to horofunctions.** For this general introduction let (X, d) be a not necessarily symmetric metric space, that is, $d(x, y) \neq d(y, x)$ for $x, y \in X$ is possible. Assume the topology to be induced by the symmetrized distance

$$d_{sym}(x, y) := d(x, y) + d(y, x)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let p_0 be a basepoint and let $C(X)$, the space of continuous real-valued functions on X, be endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets. We denote its quotient by constant functions by $\widetilde{C}(X)$. The horofunction compactification of X is an embedding of X into $\widetilde{C}(X)$. To obtain this embedding we define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : X &\longrightarrow \widetilde{C}(X) \\ z &\longmapsto \psi_z = d(\cdot, z) - d(p_0, z). \end{aligned}$$

By using the triangle inequality it can be shown that this map is injective and continuous. But it is not always an embedding. To ensure this, some more assumptions are required, as the following lemma shows (see [13, p.4 and Thm. 2.2] for a proof).

Lemma 3.1.

(1) *If d_{sym} is proper, i.e. every closed ball is compact, then the closure of the set $\{\psi_z \mid z \in X\}$ in $\widetilde{C}(X)$ is compact.*
(2) *Let additionally X be geodesic, i.e., every two points are connected by a geodesic, and let d be symmetric with respect to convergence, that is, for a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in X and some $x \in X$ the following condition holds:*

$$d(x_n, x) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \textit{iff} \quad d(x, x_n) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then ψ is an embedding of X into $\widetilde{C}(X)$.

Definition 3.2. The horofunction boundary of a metric space X is the boundary of the closure of the map ψ in $\widetilde{C}(X)$:

$$\partial_{hor}(X) := \Big(\operatorname{cl}\psi(X)\Big) \setminus \psi(X).$$

Its elements are called *horofunctions*. If the closure $\overline{X}^{hor} := X \cup \partial_{hor}X$ is compact, it is called the *horofunction compactification of X*.

Remark 3.3.

(1) The choice of an alternative basepoint p_0' leads to a homeomorphic boundary and compactification. For a reference see [12].
(2) All elements of $\operatorname{cl}\psi(X)$ are 1-Lipschitz with respect to d_{sym}.

From now on we assume all conditions of Lemma 3.1 to be satisfied and indentify X with $\psi(X)$. Then a sequence $(z_n)_n \subset X$ converges to a horofunction $\xi \in \partial_{hor}(X)$ if the sequence of the associated maps converges uniformly over compact subsets: $\psi_{z_n} \longrightarrow \xi$. Rieffel [10, Thm. 4.5] showed that there are special sequences that always converge to a horofunction $\xi \in \partial_{hor}X$, namely those along so-called almost-geodesics.

Definition 3.4. A continuous map $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow X$ is called an almost-geodesic, if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $t \geq s \geq N$

$$|d\big(\gamma(0), \gamma(s)\big) + d\big(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)\big) - t| < \varepsilon.$$

Definition 3.5. A horofunction which is the limit of an almost-geodesic is called a *Busemann point*.

In general, not all horofunctions have to be Busemann points, and it is an interesting question when this actually happens. In the case of a finite-dimensional vector space with polyhedral norm we know by Walsh [13, Thm. 1.2] that this is actually true. In this situation with a polyhedral unit ball B, he also gives a criterion to calculate all Busemann points explicitly. To do this, he describes the horofunctions as the Legendre-Fenchel-transforms $f^*_{E,p}$ of certain functions depending on proper faces $E \subset B^\circ$ and points $p \in X$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{E,p} :\ & X^* \longrightarrow [0, \infty], \\ & q \longmapsto f_{E,p}(q) := I_E(q) + \langle q|p\rangle - \inf_{y\in E}\langle y|p\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where the indicator function $I_E(q)$ is 0 for $q \in E$ and ∞ elsewhere. Recall that the Legendre-Fenchel-transform f^* of a function $f : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is

given by

$$f^* : X^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\},$$
$$w \longmapsto \sup_{x \in X} \big(\langle w|x\rangle - f(x)\big).$$

More about it can be found for example in [1, §7.2]. The result of Walsh can be stated as follows.

Theorem 3.6. [13, Thm. 1.1.] *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a finite-dimensional vector space with polyhedral norm and the notations be as above. Then the set of Busemann points is the set*

$$\{f^*_{E,p} \mid E \subset B^\circ \text{ is a (proper) face, } p \in X\}.$$

We show now that our previously defined maps $h_{E,p}$ are exactly these Busemann points.

Lemma 3.7. *Let E be a face of B° and $p \in V$. Then*

$$f^*_{E,p}(\cdot) = h_{E,p}(\cdot) = |p - \cdot|_E - |p|_E.$$

Proof. By definition, we obtain for all $y \in V$:

$$\begin{aligned} f^*_{E,p}(y) &= \sup_{x \in X^*} [\langle x|y\rangle - f_{E,p}(x)] \\ &= \sup_{x \in X^*} [\langle x|y\rangle - I_E(x) - \langle x|p\rangle + \inf_{q \in E} \langle q|p\rangle] \\ &= \sup_{x \in E} [\langle x|y - p\rangle] + \inf_{q \in E} \langle q|p\rangle \\ &= -\inf_{x \in E} (\langle x|p - y\rangle) + \inf_{q \in E} \langle q|p\rangle \\ &= |p - y|_E - |p|_E. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.8. *With the notations as in the previous lemma, it holds*

$$f^*_{E,p} = f^*_{E,p^F}.$$

Proof. The statement follows directly by Lemma 2.16. □

In summary we can describe the set of horofunctions easily as

$$\partial_{hor} X = \{h_{E,p} \mid E \subset B^\circ \text{ is a (proper) face, } p \in V(E^\circ)^\perp\}.$$

To describe the topology of $\overline{X}^{hor}$ we characterize converging sequences in the following section.

3.2. **The characterization theorem.** The main theorem of this section characterizes all sequences converging to a horofunction. It shows the strong dependence of the horofunctions on the shape of the dual unit ball, which is the underlying principle of the homeomorphism in Theorem 1.2. This result is also used in [7] to establish a geometric 1-1 correspondence between the nonnegative part of n-dimensional projective toric varieties and horofunction compactifications of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to rational polyhedral norms.

Before we state the theorem to characterize converging sequences, we show a lemma which already contains the main idea of the characterization.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ with $\|x_n\| \longrightarrow \infty$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Let B be the polyhedral unit ball associated to $\|\cdot\|$. Then $(x_n)_n$ has a subsequence $(x_{n_j})_j$ which satisfies the following conditions:*
There is a proper face $F \subset B$ and a point $p \in V(F)^\perp$ such that:

(i) *the projection $x_{n_j,F}$ lies in K_F for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.*
(ii) *$d\left(x_{n_j,F}, \partial_{rel} K_F\right) \longrightarrow \infty$ as $n_j \longrightarrow \infty$.*
(iii) *the orthogonal projection converges: $\|x^F_{n_j} - p\| \longrightarrow 0$ as $n_j \longrightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. To find a face of B satisfying all three conditions, we start with the facets, the maximal dimensional faces. As B is a polyhedral unit ball, it has only finitely many of them and their cones cover the whole vector space X. Therefore we find a subsequence, also denoted by $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that $x_n \in K_F$ for all n. As $V(F) = X$, the projection is the identity and the first and the third condition are satisfied. If also the second condition is fulfilled, we are done. Otherwise take the intersection of all faces in the relative boundary of K_F to which x_n has bounded distance. As the relative boundary of the cone is the union of parts of subspaces all intersecting in the origin, they have unbounded increasing distance to each other if they do not have a common subspace. Therefore this intersection is not trivial and it is again a cone generated by a face F_1 of B of dimension lower than $\dim(F)$. Consider the projection to the subspace $V(F_1)$ and its orthogonal complement. As the distance to $V(F_1)$ is bounded, there is a subsequence $(x_{n_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (x_n)$ such that the orthogonal part converges, $x^{F_1}_{n_j} \longrightarrow p_1 \in V(F_1)^\perp$. This satisfies the third condition with respect to F_1. By taking the intersection, we guarantee, that the distance of the projected sequence x_{n_j,F_1} to the relative boundary of K_{F_1} is unbounded, which gives us the second condition. If the projection x_{n_j,F_1} was lying outside of K_{F_1}, it must have unbounded distance to the relative boundary of K_{F_1} as just seen. But this is a contradiction to $x_{n_j} \in K_F$ as the following argument shows. The relative boundary of K_F is a union of cones lying in hyperplanes and $x^{F_1}_n$ is bounded, $\|x^{F_1}_n\| \leq b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, if we project the set $M := \{y \in K_F | \|y^{F_1}\| \leq b\}$ to $V(F_1)$, it covers K_{F_1} and remains within finite distance to its relative boundary outside of K_{F_1}. See Figure 2 for an idea.



FIGURE 2. View from above onto $V(F_1)$ (left) and from the origin into K_F (right).

As $(x_{n_j}) \in M$, this is a contradiction to the unbounded distance of x_{n_j,F_1} to the relative boundary of K_{F_1}. □

We are now prepared to state and prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $B \subset (X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a convex polyhedral unit ball associated to $\|\cdot\|$ and $B^\circ \subset X^*$ its dual. For a sequence $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$ the associated sequence $\psi_{z_n}(\cdot) = \|z_n - \cdot\| - \|z_n\|$ converges to a horofunction $h_{E,p}$ with $p \in V(E^\circ)^\perp$ and E a proper face of B° if and only if the following conditions are satisfied for the proper face $F = E^\circ \subset B$ and $p \in V(F)^\perp$ as above:*

(0) *The sequence is unbounded: $\|z_n\| \longrightarrow \infty$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.*
(i) *The projection to $V(F)$ lies in the cone of F: $(z_n)_F \in K_F$ for n big enough.*
(ii) *The distance of the projection to the relative boundary of the cone is unbounded: $d\big(z_{n,F}, \partial_{rel} K_F\big) \longrightarrow \infty$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.*
(iii) *The orthogonal projection is bounded and converges to p: $\|z_n^F - p\| \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. We first show that ψ_{z_n} converges to $h_{E,p}$ if all conditions are satisfied. Let $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence satisfying all conditions for some face F of B and $p \in V(F)^\perp$. For any $y \in X$, let n be large enough such that there are two facets $F_i, F_j \subset B$ (i.e. $\dim F_i = \dim F_j = m-1$) having F in their relative boundary and satisfying

$$\frac{z_{n,F} + p - y}{\|z_{n,F} + p - y\|} \in F_i; \qquad \frac{z_{n,F} + p}{\|z_{n,F} + p\|} \in F_j. \tag{3.2}$$

If F is a facet itself, take $F_i = F_j = F$. As B is polyhedral, each face lies in the relative boundary of a facet of B. By condition (ii), the distance to the relative boundary of K_F goes to infinity and therefore, as p and y are constant, the above mentioned condition can always be satisfied for n large enough. If (ii) was not satisfied, we could land in facets that do not have F in their relative boundary. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\psi_{z_n} - h_{E,p})(y) &= \|z_n - y\| - \|z_n\| - h_{E,p}(y) \\
&= \|z_n^F - p + z_{n,F} + p - y\| - \|z_n^F - p + z_{n,F} + p\| - h_{E,p}(y) \\
&\leq \|z_n^F - p\| + \|z_{n,F} + p - y\| + \|z_n^F - p\| - \|z_{n,F} + p\| - h_{E,p}(y) \\
&\overset{1}{=} |z_{n,F} + p - y|_E - |z_{n,F} + p|_E - h_{E,p} + 2\|z_n^F - p\| \\
&\overset{2}{=} \|z_{n,F}\| + |p - y|_E - \|z_{n,F}\| - |p|_E - h_{E,p} + 2\|z_n^F - p\| \\
&= |p - y|_E - |p|_E - h_{E,p} + 2\|z_n^F - p\| \\
&\longrightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

by the usual and the reverse triangle inequality. Step 1 follows by Lemma 2.14 and with Equation (3.2) above. The second step is a consequence of Lemma 2.15. The sets F_i, F_j are chosen precisely such that all these lemmata can be applied.
Similarly we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(\psi_{z_n} - h_{E,p})(y) &= \|z_n^F - p + z_{n,F} + p - y\| - \|z_n^F - p + z_{n,F} + p\| - h_{E,p}(y) \\
&\geq -\|z_n^F - p\| + \|z_{n,F} + p - y\| - \|z_n^F - p\| - \|z_{n,F} + p\| - h_{E,p}(y) \\
&\longrightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have shown that $\psi_{z_n}(y) \longrightarrow h_{E,p}(y)$ for all $y \in X$ pointwise. As we assume d_{sym} to be proper and because all elements of $\mathrm{cl}\{\psi_z \mid z \in X\}$ are 1-Lipschitz with respect to d_{sym}, pointwise convergence of ψ_{z_n} is equivalent to uniform convergence on bounded sets, which again is equivalent to uniform convergence on compact sets in $C(X)$. See for example [13] for a reference. Therefore $\psi_{z_n} \longrightarrow h_{E,p}$.

For the other direction we have to show that every converging sequence $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$ with $\psi_{z_n} \longrightarrow h_{E,p}$ for some proper face $E \subset B^\circ$ and $p \in V(E^\circ)^\perp$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem. The proof is based on Lemma 3.9, where we have shown that every sequence "converging" to infinity has a subsequence fulfilling conditions $(i) - (iii)$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$. So let $(z_n)_n$ be a sequence with $\psi_{z_n} \longrightarrow h_{E,p}$ and let $F := E^\circ$ be the dual face. If the sequence was bounded, ψ_{z_n} would stay in the interior of $\psi(X)$ and not converge to a Busemann point in the boundary. Thus by Lemma 3.9, $(z_n)_n$ has a subsequence $(z_{n_j})_j$ satisfying all conditions with respect to F. We have to show that this subsequence is the whole sequence. If it was not the whole sequence, we could find a subsequence z_{n_j} satisfying the conditions for some face $F_1 \neq F$. Then by the first part of the proof we would have $\psi_{z_{n_k}} \longrightarrow h_{E_1,p} \neq h_{E,p}$ as $E_1 \neq E$ (see Lemma 2.18) which is a contradiction. The same argument works if we had a subsequence fulfilling the conditions for some $p_1 \neq p$ with $p_1 - p \notin V(F)$. □

3.3. **Examples.** In this section we want to give some examples to illustrate the conditions of the theorem and to give the reader some intuition how sequences converge. In all examples below we consider $\mathbb{R}^2$ equipped with the L^1-norm. Its dual is the L^∞-norm as seen in Example 2.5 before. The unit ball B and its dual B° as well as the notation of faces are shown in Figure 3.



FIGURE 3. The unit ball B and its dual B° with some faces. The face F_1 is collapsed to the point E_1 while F_2 is blown-up to the face E_2.

We consider sequences of the form $z_n = (n, f(n)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ following functions $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. These functions are shown in Figure 4.

Example 3.11. For some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the sequence

$$z_n = (n, c) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

The sequence runs along a line parallel to the x-axis shifted by c. For the face $F_2 \subset B$ the cone K_{F_2} is the non-negative x-axis with the origin as its relative boundary. $V(F_2)^\perp$ then is the y-axis isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$. It is easy to

see that all conditions of the theorem are satisfied with $F = F_2$ and $p = c$. As the sequence is parallel to the x-axis, it is not possible to choose F_1 as face here because the distance to the relative boundary is constant. As $F_2^\circ = E_2$ we conclude that $\psi_{z_n} \longrightarrow h_{E_2,c}$.

Example 3.12. Next we consider sequences $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the type

$$z_n = (n, sn) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

with $s > 0$. Here we can choose F_1 as our face and because $s \neq 0$, the distance to the relative boundary is unbounded now. The dual E_1 of F_1 is just a point $E_1 = \{e_1\}$, and by equation (3.1) on page 9 it is clear that $h_{E_1,p}(x) = \langle e_1|x\rangle$ is independent of p for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. This fits with our conditions above as $V(F_1) = \mathbb{R}^2$ and so $V(F_1)^\perp = \{0\}$. The convergence of z_n is independent of the value of s, all sequences of this type converge to the same horofunction h_{E_1}.

Example 3.13. We now take a sequence that lies completely in K_{F_1} but converges to the horofunction associated with the face F_2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$z_n = \left(n, \frac{1}{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

be our sequence. Then as z_n approaches the x-axis, the boundary condition is not satisfied for $F = F_1$. If we take $F = F_2$ instead, this is not a problem any more. As not the whole sequence but only its projection to the subspace has to be inside the cone, it is easy to check that all requirements are fulfilled for F_2 with $p = 0$. This is the same limit as for the sequence in the first example with $c = 0$.



FIGURE 4. The functions for Examples 3.11 and 3.12 (left) and 3.13 to 3.15 (right)

Example 3.14. The next sequence $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ we consider is given by

$$z_n = \left(n, \frac{1}{2}\sin(5n) + 1\right) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

It lies completely in K_{F_1} but as the y-value is bounded, it contradicts the boundary condition for this face. If we choose F_2 instead, this condition is satisfied but we are not able to find an appropriate $p \in V(F_2)^\perp \simeq \mathbb{R}$ to fulfill the last one. As these two faces are the only reasonable choices, we conclude that in this case ψ_{z_n} does not converge at all. This also turns out when doing the calculation directly.

One could guess that an easier condition for finding the appropriate face F is to look at the limiting direction $\frac{z_n}{\|z_n\|}$ and to require it to be in F. The next example shows that this is too much simplified.

Example 3.15. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ take the sequence with

$$z_n = \big(n, \log(2n)\big) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Then $\frac{z_n}{\|z_n\|} \longrightarrow (1,0) = F_2$, so a reasonable choice seems to be $F = F_2$. But then it is not possible to find a $p \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the last condition because $z_{n,F_2} = (n,0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ but the sequence $z_n^{F_2} = (0, \log(2n)) \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ does not converge. If we take $F = F_1$ instead this guaranties us unbounded distance to the relative boundary and because the projection is just the identity, all other requirements are also fulfilled, so $\psi_{z_n} \longrightarrow h_{E_1}$, independent of p as explained before.

Remark 3.16. We have seen in the examples that it is not enough to consider the direction of a sequence to determine the right face associated to the horofunction. The easiest examples are sequences following straight lines and they are important enough to show the general behavior of the sequences. All sequences in a regular direction, that is, within the interior of the cone of a facet, collapse and converge to the horofunction associated to the dual vertex, independent of any translation or direction. For a sequence in a singular direction associated to a lower dimensional face F, we have the same collapsing behavior for the $z_{n,F}$-part and a blowing-up in the orthogonal direction $V(F)^\perp$, which is encoded by the point $p \in V(F)^\perp$ in the definition of $h_{E,p}$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In the last part of this section we prove Theorem 1.2. To do so, we need the map m^C, which maps a finite-dimensional vector space to the interior of a convex polytope C of the same dimension. The structure of the map is motivated by the moment map known from the theory of toric varieties. See for example [3, §4.2] for a description of it. Although we do not have a Lie group acting on a toric variety here, the map is the same. Up to some signs which come from the definition of the dual unit ball, the same result as Theorem 4.2 can be found in [3, p. 82] but with a different proof. The moment map was also used to realize the closure of a flat in the Stake compactifications as bounded polytopes in [6].

4.1. **The map m^C.** Let $C \subset (\mathbb{R}^m)^*$ be an m-dimensional polytope with vertices $\{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$. We define the map m^C on the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^m$ and its dual $(\mathbb{R}^m)^*$ in order to be able to use methods from analysis for the proof. Nevertheless, Theorem 4.2 also holds for m^C defined on X and $C \subset X^*$.

$$\begin{aligned} m^C : \mathbb{R}^m &\longrightarrow \operatorname{int}(C), \\ x &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{e^{-\langle c_i | x \rangle}}{\sum_{k=1}^{r} e^{-\langle c_k | x \rangle}} c_i. \end{aligned}$$

Later we want to use C° as the unit ball of our vector space. As C not necessarily contains the origin, $(C^\circ)^\circ$ is not C any more, so to ensure that

C° can be a unit ball, we consider a translated convex set properly lying around the origin and then take the dual of this set. The map m^C behaves well under shifting as the following lemma shows.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $C_s = C+s$ be the convex polyhedral set obtained by shifting C by an element $s \in \mathbb{R}^{m,*}$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$*

$$m^{C_s}(x) = m^C(x) + s.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be arbitrary, then, as $C_s = \mathrm{conv}\{c_j + s | j = 1, \dots, r\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^{C_s}(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{e^{-\langle c_i+s|x\rangle}}{\sum_{k=1}^r e^{-\langle c_k+s|x\rangle}}(c_i+s) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{e^{-\langle c_i|x\rangle-\langle s|x\rangle}}{\sum_{k=1}^r e^{-\langle c_k|x\rangle-\langle s|x\rangle}}(c_i+s) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{e^{-\langle c_i|x\rangle}}{\sum_{k=1}^r e^{-\langle c_k|x\rangle}}c_i + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{e^{-\langle c_i|x\rangle}}{\sum_{k=1}^r e^{-\langle c_k|x\rangle}}s \\ &= m^C(x) + s. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.2. *The map m^C defined above is continuous and bijective.*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be an arbitrary point. As no summand $e^{-\langle c_i|x\rangle}$ in the numerator can be zero, $m^C(x)$ is a proper convex combination of all vertices of C and lies therefore in its interior. It is obvious that the map is continuous. To show injectivity, define the function

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{R}^m &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ x &\longmapsto -\ln\left(\sum_{i=1}^r e^{-\langle c_i|x\rangle}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then $m^C = \nabla f$ is the gradient of f. To prove injectivity of m^C on $\mathbb{R}^m$, we show that f is strictly concave and then use a description of strict concavity including the derivative. We define the function

$$\begin{aligned} g : \mathbb{R}^m &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ x &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^r e^{-\langle c_i|x\rangle}, \end{aligned}$$

such that $f(x) = -\ln\big(g(x)\big)$. Consider Hölder's inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i b_i| \le \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{4.1}$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ with $p, q > 0$. Take $p = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ and $q = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in (0,1)$, then we have for all $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} e^{-\langle c_i | \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \rangle} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} e^{-\langle c_i | \lambda x \rangle} e^{-\langle c_i | (1-\lambda)y \rangle} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[e^{-\langle c_i | \lambda x \rangle} \right]^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left[e^{-\langle c_j | (1-\lambda)y \rangle} \right]^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \left[e^{-\langle c_i | x \rangle} \right] \right)^{\lambda} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left[e^{-\langle c_j | y \rangle} \right] \right)^{1-\lambda} \\ &= g(x)^{\lambda} g(y)^{1-\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\right) &= -\ln\left(g(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y)\right) \\ &\geq -\ln\left(g(x)^{\lambda} g(y)^{1-\lambda}\right) \\ &= \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda) f(y) \end{aligned}$$

by the monotonicity of the logarithm. So f is concave. It is actually strictly concave as the following argument shows. As our summands are positive, there is equality in Hölder's inequality (4.1) if and only if $a_i^p = \alpha b_i^q$ for all $i \in 1, \dots, n$ with $\alpha > 0$. In other words, $f\left(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y\right) = \lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda) f(y)$ if and only if $e^{-\langle c_i | x \rangle} = \alpha e^{-\langle c_i | y \rangle}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, which is equal to $-\langle c_i | x - y \rangle = \ln(\alpha)$ for all i. As all c_i together span an $m-$dimensional convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$, this can only be satisfied if $x = y$, which is a contradiction to our assumption. So we never have equality in Hölder's inequality which means that f is strictly concave. For a function $s : D \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ convex, strict concavity is equal to the generalized monotonicity condition

$$s(y) < s(x) + \langle \nabla s(x) | (y - x) \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in D$ with $x \neq y$. So let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $x \neq y$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &< f(y) + \langle \nabla f(y) | (x - y) \rangle \\ &< f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x) | (y - x) \rangle + \langle \nabla f(y) | (x - y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, as $\nabla f(x) = m^C(x)$, we have $\langle m^C(x) - m^C(y) | y - x \rangle > 0$. Therefore $m^C(x) \neq m^C(y)$ for all $x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and injectivity is shown. We show that m^C is onto by showing that the derivative of m^C is a negative definite matrix and therefore invertible. Using the Inverse Function Theorem we then prove surjectivity. Recall that

$$m^C(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} e^{-\langle c_i | x \rangle} c_i}{\sum_{k=1}^{r} e^{-\langle c_k | x \rangle}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We use the notation that an upper index denotes the corresponding component of the vector. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial (m^C)^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}(x) &= \frac{1}{(\sum_{j=1}^r e^{-\langle c_j|x\rangle})^2}\left[\sum_{i,j=1}^r c_i^\alpha c_j^\beta e^{-\langle c_i+c_j|x\rangle} - \sum_{i,k=1}^r c_i^\alpha c_i^\beta e^{-\langle c_i+c_j|x\rangle}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(\sum_{j=1}^r e^{-\langle c_j|x\rangle})^2}\sum_{i<k} e^{-\langle c_i+c_k|x\rangle}(c_i^\alpha c_j^\beta + c_j^\alpha c_i^\beta - c_i^\alpha c_i^\beta - c_j^\beta c_j^\alpha) \\ &= \frac{-1}{(\sum_{j=1}^r e^{-\langle c_j|x\rangle})^2}\sum_{i<k} e^{-\langle c_i+c_k|x\rangle}(c_i^\alpha - c_j^\alpha)(c_i^\beta - c_j^\beta).\end{aligned}$$

Let $a = (a^1 \dots a^m)^t \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be some arbitrary vector. Then the quadratic form defined by the derivative of m^C is negative definite. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}(a^1,\dots,a^m)&\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial (m^C)^1}{\partial x^1}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial (m^C)^1}{\partial x^m}(x) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial (m^C)^m}{\partial x^1}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial (m^C)^m}{\partial x^m}(x)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a^1 \\ \vdots \\ a^m\end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^r a^\alpha a^\beta \frac{\partial (m^C)^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}(x) \\ &= \frac{-1}{(\sum_j e^{-\langle c_j|x\rangle})^2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta} a^\alpha a^\beta \left[\sum_{i<k} e^{-\langle c_i+c_k|x\rangle}(c_i^\alpha - c_k^\alpha)(c_i^\beta - c_k^\beta)\right] \\ &= \frac{-1}{(\sum_j e^{-\langle c_j|x\rangle})^2}\sum_{i<k} e^{-\langle c_i+c_k|x\rangle}\left[\sum_{\alpha,\beta} a^\alpha (c_i^\alpha - c_k^\alpha) a^\beta (c_i^\beta - c_k^\beta)\right] \\ &= \frac{-1}{(\sum_j e^{-\langle c_j|x\rangle})^2}\sum_{i<k} e^{-\langle c_i+c_k|x\rangle}\left(\sum_{\alpha} a^\alpha (c_i^\alpha - c_k^\alpha)\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{-1}{(\sum_j e^{-\langle c_j|x\rangle})^2}\sum_{i<k} e^{-\langle c_i+c_k|x\rangle}\left(\langle a|c_i - c_k\rangle\right)^2 < 0.\end{aligned}$$

By the Inverse Function Theorem we know that m^C is a local isomorphism and that its image is open in $\operatorname{int}(C)$. It remains to show that the image is also closed. Assume that the image is open but not closed and take a point on the boundary of it which lies in the interior of C, say $y \in \partial m^C(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap \operatorname{int}(C)$. Then we can find a sequence $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset m^C(\mathbb{R}^m)$ converging to y. Let $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be the corresponding sequence of preimages. Then there are two cases to distinguish.

If $(x_n) \longrightarrow \infty$, we can find a subsequence also denoted by x_n, which fulfills all conditions of Lemma 3.9 with respect to C°. As we are only interested in limits, we can assume by Lemma 4.1 that C contains the origin. Let $F \subset C^\circ$ be the corresponding face and $E \subset C$ its dual. Then by Lemma 2.10 and the third condition of Lemma 3.9 we see that for an arbitrary vertex c_E of E it holds

$$\begin{aligned}\langle c_E - c_j|x_{n,F}\rangle &\longrightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad j \in S_E \\ -\infty & \text{if} \quad j \notin S_E\end{cases} \\ \langle c_E - c_j|x_n^F\rangle &\text{ is bounded.}\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Then in the limit, only those summands of

$$m^C(x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{e^{-\langle c_i|x_n\rangle}}{\sum_{k=1}^{r} e^{-\langle c_k|x_n\rangle}} c_i = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{e^{\langle c_E - c_i|x\rangle}}{\sum_{k=1}^{r} e^{\langle c_E - c_k|x\rangle}} c_i \tag{4.3}$$

with $i \in S_E$ remain. Therefore, the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} m^C(x_n)$ of the subsequence is a convex combination of all those edges spanning the face E and lies in E. As E is in the boundary of C, this is a contradiction. It remains to prove the case where (x_n) is contained inside a compactum. Then again we can find a subsequence (x_{n_k}) converging to some point $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. By continuity of m^C and uniqueness of limits we conclude $y = m^C(x)$ lies in the image of m^C. As y was some arbitrary boundary point, the image of m^C is also closed in C and therefore the whole C. □

4.2. **The actual proof of Theorem 1.2.**

Theorem 1.2. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a finite-dimensional normed space with polyhedral norm. Let $B \subset X$ be the unit ball associated to $\|\cdot\|$ and $B^\circ = \operatorname{conv}\{u_1, \dots, u_r\} \subset X^*$ its dual. Then the horofunction compactification $\overline{X}^{hor}$ is homeomorphic to B° via the map*

$$\begin{aligned} m : \overline{X}^{hor} &\longrightarrow B^\circ, \\ x \in X &\longmapsto m^{B^\circ}(x), \\ h_{E,p} \in \partial_{hor} X &\longmapsto m^E(p). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is structured as follows. After showing that the map is well-defined we prove continuity and at last bijectivity. As both spaces involved are Hausdorff and compact, this is enough to conclude that the map is a homeomorphism.

Let E be a face of B°, $F = E^\circ \subset B$ its dual face and denote by $E^F = \operatorname{conv}\{u_j^F | j \in S_{E^F} = S_E\}$ the orthogonal projection of E to $(V(F)^\perp)^*$. By the construction of the dual unit ball, E^F is a maximal dimensional convex polytope in the vector space $(V(F)^\perp)^*$. Then there is a $t \in (V(F)^\perp)^* \subset X^*$ such that $E = E^F + t$ is a maximal dimensional convex polytope in the affine space $(V(F)^\perp)^* + t$. As for a horofunction $h_{E,p}$ we have by definition that $p \in V(F)^\perp$, we can apply Theorem 4.2 to obtain a continuous and bijective map m^{E^F} from $V(F)^\perp$ to $\operatorname{int}(E^F)$. By Lemma 4.1 we conclude that also the map m^E has these properties. Indeed, let $y \in E$, $y^F \in E^F$ and $x \in V(F)^\perp$ be the preimage of y^F. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m^E(x) &= m^{E^F}(x) + t \\ &= y^F + t = y, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes this part of the proof. As B° is the finite union of the relative interiors of the convex sets E_j, it remains to show that m is continuous on the boundary of the faces. For continuity from the interior of B° to the boundary, we first take a sequence $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$ that converges to a horofunction $h_{E,p}$. Then by the third condition of the characterization of sequences in Theorem 3.10, we know that $z_n^F \to p$. Let u_E be an arbitrary

vertex of E. Then by the same calculation as already done in equations (4.2) and (4.3) we conclude that

$$m(z_n) \longrightarrow \frac{\sum_{j\in S_E} e^{-\langle u_j|p\rangle} u_j}{\sum_{k\in S_E} e^{-\langle u_k|p\rangle}} = m(h_{E,p})$$

as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

For the continuity within the boundary, the argument is similar. The basic idea is to use the already shown continuity on a lower dimensional subspace, where the unit ball is given by the dual of a projected and translated face of B°. Let $h_{E_n,p_n} \longrightarrow h_{E',p'}$ be a sequence of converging horofunctions. As there are only finitely many faces E_j of B°, we can take a subsequence h_{E,p_n} with a fixed face E of B°. Let F be the corresponding dual face of B. Let again $E^F = E - t$ denote the projection of E to $(V(F)^\perp)^*$, $t \in V(F)^*$. If E^F does not contain the origin in its interior, let $E_0^F = E^F + s$ for some $s \in (V(F)^\perp)^*$ be the shifted set containing the origin in its interior. Then all together we have

$$E = E^F + t = E_0^F + t + s.$$

We take

$$B_E^\circ := E_0^F$$

as dual unit ball and consequently its dual B_E as unit ball in the linear subspace $(V(F)^\perp)^*$. Similarly we have

$$E' = E_0'^F + t' + s$$

with the translated and projected (for some $t' \in V(\widetilde{F})^*$) convex set $E_0'^F \subset (V(F)^\perp)^*$. Note that the translation is by the same element s as for E, while the projection parameter might be different. Then by Lemma 2.17 we conclude for any $y \in X$:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{E,p_n}(y) &= h_{E_0^F,p_n}(y^F) + \langle s|y^F\rangle + \langle t|y_F\rangle, \\ h_{E',p'}(y) &= h_{E_0'^F,p'}(y^F) + \langle s|y^F\rangle + \langle t'|y_F\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For the restriction to $(V(F)^\perp)^*$ we obtain

$$h_{E_0^F,p_n}|_{V(F)^\perp} \longrightarrow h_{E_0'^F,p'}|_{V(F)^\perp}. \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore $\psi_{p_n}(y) \longrightarrow |p' - y|_{E_s'^F} - |p'|_{E_0'^F}$ with respect to the norm B_E. This has two consequences. At first we conclude from this that $E_0'^F \subset E_0^F$ is a face and from equation (4.4) it follows that $t' = t$ and so also $E' \subset E$ is a face. Secondly we conclude by the already shown continuity in the interior of a vectorspace, here $V(F)^\perp$ with norm B_E and (p_n) as sequence, that

$$m^{E_0^F}(p_n) \longrightarrow m^{E_0'^F}(p').$$

By Lemma 4.1 this is equivalent to the convergence

$$m^E(p_n) \longrightarrow m^{E'}(p')$$

which we wanted to show. □

References

[1] G. Beer, *Topologies on closed and closed convex sets*, Mathematics and its Applications, 268. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993. xii+340 pp.

[2] B. Brill, *A family of compactifications of affine buildings. (Eine Familie von Kompaktifizierungen affiner Gebäude.)*. Berlin: Logos Verlag; Frankfurt am Main: Fachbereich Mathematik (Dissertation), 2006.

[3] W. Fulton *Introduction to toric varieties*, volume 131 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (1993). The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.

[4] M. Gromov, Hyperbolic manifolds, groups and actions. *Riemann surfaces and related topics*: Proceedings of the 1978 Stony Brook Conference (State Univ. New York, Stony Brook, N.Y., 1978), pp. 183–213, Ann. of Math. Stud., 97, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.

[5] Y. Guivarc'h, L. Ji, J.C. Taylor, *Compactifications of symmetric spaces*. Progress in Mathematics, 156. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998. xiv+284 pp.

[6] L. Ji, *Satake and Martin compactifications of symmetric spaces are topological balls*. Math. Res. Lett.4 (1997), no. 1, 7989.

[7] L. Ji, A. Schilling, *Toric varieties vs. horofunction compactifications of polyhedral norms*, in preparation.

[8] M. Kapovich, B. Leeb, *Finsler bordifications of symmetric and certain locally symmetric spaces*. Preprint, arXiv:1505.03593, 2016.

[9] A. Karlsson, V. Metz, G. Noskov, *Horoballs in simplices and Minkowski spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2006, Art. ID 23656, 20 pp.

[10] M. Rieffel, *Group C^*-algebras as compact quantum metric spaces*. Doc. Math. 7 (2002), 605–651.

[11] R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex analysis*, Princeton University Press, xviii+451, 1970.

[12] C. Walsh, *The horoboundary and isometry group of Thurston's Lipschitz metric*. In Handbook of Teichmuller theory (A. Papadopoulos, ed.), Volume IV, European Mathematical Society, Zurich (2014), 327-353.

[13] C. Walsh, *The horofunction boundary of finite-dimensional normed spaces*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 142 (2007), no. 3, 497–507.

Lizhen Ji, Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, USA

Anna-Sofie Schilling, Department of Mathematics, University of Heidelberg, Germany